Andrea Gyger

From: Mary Eberle Sent: Monday, February 18, 2013 1:43 PM To: Scott Gessler; Suzanne Staiert; Judd Choate; Wayne Munster; Richard Coolidge; Andrew Cole; Gary Zimmerman; DJ Davis; SoS Rulemaking Cc: Marilyn Marks; Marqit Johansson; Angie Layton; Joe Richey; Kathryn Wallace; Harvie Branscomb; Al Kolwicz; Mary Eberle Subject: Fwd: [Colorado Voter].4111 PUBLIC COMMENT: Use of Serial Numbers on Ballots Dear Secretary Gessler and Others in the Department of State, Al Kolwicz has said so well what needs to be done about prohibiting any identifying marks on cast ballots. Please follow his lead on this subject. As to the Hart system (and any others that may use identifying marks), please require that the lower left barcode and number contain only a Precinct Number plus a Style Number. The printed combined number must be made humanreadable to help election judges during ballot processing. The clerks should be prohibited from creating styles that require fewer than 50 ballots to be printed. If such styles would be needed for an election, the coordinating district(s) should not be coordinated, to preserve voters' anonymity (in case very few voters return ballots of a given style). Thank you. Mary Mary C. Eberle ----- Original Message ------Subject:[Colorado Voter].4111 PUBLIC COMMENT: Use of Serial Numbers on Ballots Date:Mon, 18 Feb 2013 02:07:14 +0000 From:Al Kolwicz To:Scott.Gessler@SOS.STATE.CO.US <Scott.Gessler@SOS.STATE.CO.US>, SOS.Rulemaking@sos.state.co.us <SOS.Rulemaking@sos.state.co.us> CC:Colorado Voter Group George Leing

February 17, 2013

Dear Secretary Gessler,

COMMENT: Use of Serial Numbers on Ballots

The Colorado Department of State has an obligation to ensure that no ballots are marked in a way that anybody, including the voter themselves, can identify the voter of a cast ballot.

In recent times, equipment vendors, county clerks, and Department of State officials have worked to undermine this voter and election protection device. They have authorized the use of paper ballots that contain non-removable serial numbers, and required that ballots when cast retain these permanent identifiers.

Also, until recently, ballots included a removable stub on which a unique identifier was printed. The stub number was recorded in the pollbook alongside the identity of the voter. This provided a means for auditing the printed ballot inventory. Ballots were cast by the voter immediately after the stub was removed and securely retained (in the presence of both the voter and the election judge). This provided a means for auditing the contents of the cast ballot box. The statutes contain remnants of this requirement.

Colorado Voter Group and others have filed many complaints regarding the use of non-removable unique identifiers on ballots.

- 1. There is no proven need for anyone knowing who voted which cast ballot.
- 2. There is created an enormous opportunity for intimidation, vote selling, and fraud when even the possibility that a cast ballot can be associated with a particular voter exists.
- 3. There is an alternative. The convenience for officials to conduct research on cast ballots (when ballots contain a permanent unique identifier) can be achieved instead by (i) issuing ballots with a identified removable stub, (ii) casting ballots after the stub is removed, and (iii) printing an identifier on the stub-less, anonymous cast ballot after the number of ballots in the ballot box have been balanced and these anonymous ballots have been merged with other batches, shuffled and combined into counting batches.
- 4. The existence of permanently identified ballots has been used by county clerks to thwart open records laws and public inspection of cast ballots, scanned images of cast ballots, and critical data files and logs. Redaction of the records to remove the identifiers is enormously costly and destroys the integrity of the records.

It is vital that the protocol outlined above, or some other protocol, eliminate the possibility that a cast ballot can be associated with a particular voter.

As can be seen above, uniquely identified ballots have a place <u>before</u> a ballot is cast and <u>after</u> an anonymous cast ballot is merged, shuffled, and re-batched – but not in between. The voter must cast a ballot that does not contain a unique identifier or any mark that can be used to connect the voter and the cast ballot.

Al Kolwicz Colorado Voter Group http://www.ColoradoVoterGroup.org

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "Colorado Voter Group" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to

To post to this group, send email to	
Visit this group at	
For more options, visit	