

Andrea Gyger

From: Al Kolwicz <alkolwicz@[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, August 07, 2011 7:44 PM
To: Andrea Gyger
Cc: Scott Gessler; coloradovoter@googlegroups.com
Subject: FW: Request for revisions to 8 CCR 1505-13

Dear Andrea:

It is our understanding that the intent of this revision is to repeal 1505-13.

We have searched for a replacement Rule in the Personnel Department site and found none. It is imperative that the Personnel Department Rule be approved and synchronized with the revision of the corresponding Department of State Rule.

By leaving an empty CDOS Rule:

1. There would be no process for ensuring that changes of real address, recorded by the Personnel Department, will result in timely revision of the ballot style issued to the confidential voter. This needs to be specified.
2. There would be no way to verify that the resulting election records can be used to verify (a) the pollbook (a list of who voted and where), and (b) which ballot style was actually issued to which voter. This needs to be specified.
3. There would be no specification for how confidential voters are to appear in the SCORE voter registration system. This needs to be specified.

All three of the above are vital to achieving a verifiable election. Without specifications, canvass boards and other election oversight persons would not have the data needed to perform their duty.

Also, HB11-1080 is quite specific on the persons eligible for the confidential address program – see 24-30-2104. It is our understanding that persons who do not meet the requirements defined by 24-30-2104 are currently afforded a similar program. CDOS needs a Rule, or HB-1080 needs to be revised, to address these persons. In either case, before repeal of 1503-13, these additional persons must be addressed.

Provisions in 24-30-2108 (3) and (4) include requirements that need to be addressed by SCORE and how these requirements will be met must be specified before 1505-13 is repealed. For example, will confidential addressees appear in the SCORE reports released to the public, and will the list of eligible jurisdictions be included in the public data?

There needs to be a specification of how 1-2-213 (revised) is to be implemented so that confidential electors are assigned the correct ballot style.

Al Kolwicz, for
Colorado Voter Group